



Bear Lake

Lake Management Plan Update 2023

Submitted By:

Jaimee Desjardins, Environmental Scientist

Jason Broekstra, Biologist

PLM Lake & Land Management Corp.

PO Box 132 · Caledonia, Michigan 49316
phone 616.891.1294 · fax 616.891.0371
www.plmcorp.net

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction _____	3
Characteristics of the Lake _____	3
PLM’s Integrated Plant Management Program _____	3
Why Do Aquatic Plants Become a Nuisance? _____	3
Eurasian watermilfoil _____	4
Curlyleaf pondweed _____	4
Starry stonewort _____	4
European Frog-bit _____	5
Algae _____	5
Management Goals for Bear Lake _____	5
Lake Management Activities Conducted in 2023 _____	6
Exotic Plant Management _____	6
Algae Management _____	7
Phosphorus Mitigation – Phoslock Treatments _____	7
Water Quality Testing _____	7
Aquatic Vegetation Surveys _____	8
Planning/Evaluation _____	8
AVAS Data 2023 _____	9
Strategies for Achieving Lake Management Goals _____	10
Management Techniques _____	10
Chemical control, _____	10
Mechanical harvesting _____	11
Biological control _____	11
Bacteria _____	11
Aeration _____	11
Genetic Milfoil Testing _____	11
Integrated Pest Management (IPM) _____	11
Vegetation Monitoring _____	12
Water Quality Monitoring _____	12
Natural Shoreline/Nutrient Loading Abatement _____	12
Phosphorus Mitigation – Phoslock Treatments _____	13
Fishery _____	13
Restoration _____	13
Prevention _____	14
Bear Lake Management Recommendations 2024 _____	14
Submersed Aquatic Plants _____	14
Algae Management _____	14
Phoslock Treatments _____	15
Emergent Vegetation Management _____	15
Monitoring and Water Quality Testing _____	15
The Recommended Management Program for Bear Lake: _____	15

Introduction

This management plan examines current conditions in the lake and provides management recommendations for 2024. The plan will detail an integrated approach to lake management including but not limited to exotic weed control, water quality monitoring and aquatic vegetation surveying.

Characteristics of the Lake

Bear Lake is a 410-acre lake located in Laketon Township, Muskegon County, Michigan (T10N R17W Sec 12-14, 23-24). Rooted vegetation covers a majority of the littoral zone of the lake. A majority of the shoreline has been developed for single family year-round/seasonal homes. The lake has a history of aquatic plant and noxious algae problems.

The three major problems necessitating management of Bear Lake are: (1) poor water quality, (2) excessive algae blooms, and (3) exotic plants. The most evident water quality problem is poor water clarity, resulting from both suspended sediments and planktonic algae growth. Bear Lake has a history of algae blooms, including noxious blooms of blue-green algae. Re-suspension of bottom sediments both reduces water clarity and transfers nutrients to the water, where they contribute to increased algal growth.

Establishment of weedy exotic aquatic plants, including Eurasian watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed, exacerbates problems caused by aquatic vegetation in the lake. These weedy exotic plants grow to the surface, particularly where water clarity is poor, and cause substantially more interference with recreation than native plants.

A formal lake-use survey was not included in this study, but observations made while working on the lake indicate that the lake is used for fishing, boating, and swimming.

PLM's Integrated Plant Management Program

An Integrated Plant Management program should focus on preserving and protecting desirable plant life while controlling unwanted "weed" species through remediation services. In addition, these preventative programs should strive to keep the lake free of unwelcome plants that are known to be pests elsewhere in the region.

The first step of PLM's Integrated Plant Management Program is to *evaluate* and record current lake conditions and lake residents' goals. Next is to *prescribe* a lake specific management plan to control unwanted plant growth.

Implementation of the agreed upon lake management plan is the final step of the program. After the program has been implemented, PLM will assess the results and use the information to modify and improve priorities, processes and plans – starting the cycle again. The key to a successful Plant Management Program is to minimize the total long-term impacts of noxious aquatic vegetation while preventing new infestations and protecting the aquatic environment.

Why Do Aquatic Plants Become a Nuisance?



In moderation, aquatic plants are good for the lake, providing habitat for fish and other organisms and stabilizing bottom sediments. Plants get to be a problem when their growth becomes excessive and interferes with the use of the lake. At high levels, even native plants can disrupt the balance and be viewed as "invasive". A number of factors can result in excessive growth of aquatic plants. In many, or perhaps most cases, several factors have combined to result in the problem.

Exotic plant species cause many of the most serious weed problems. Exotic plants are plants that are not native to this area, which have been brought to the area and released.

Because they often have few natural enemies (their pests, pathogens, etc. may not have come over with them), they grow out of control. When exotic aquatic plants such as Eurasian watermilfoil and Curlyleaf pondweed invade a lake, they often form extensive dense populations, crowd out native species and reduce the quality of habitat for other organisms.

Human activities also increase the input of nutrients and nutrient-rich sediments to the lake. Nutrients feed the growth of algae in the water and settle on the bottom, where they provide a rich substrate for aquatic plant growth. Nutrient inputs increase the overall growth of all aquatic plants (exotic and native) and algae. Preventing excess nutrients from entering your lake is much less expensive than trying to fix the problems they cause.



Eurasian watermilfoil

EWM, an exotic species, is an extremely aggressive submerged aquatic plant that has the abilities to form a monoculture among vegetation. EWM spreads by fragmentation (every inch of plant can sprout new growth) and has a very strong root system. EWM forms a canopy above native plants, choking out the competition. EWM also has the ability to overwinter underneath the ice, allowing it to be present throughout the winter. This gives the plant a head start in growing during the spring and chokes out native plants very quickly. EWM should be controlled as soon as it is found within a waterbody to prevent further infestation and loss of native plant diversity. NOTE: Once a native plant is lost in a lake, there is no guarantee it will return.

Curlyleaf pondweed

Curlyleaf pondweed, an exotic species, usually emerges early each spring, flowers and sets seed in the late spring and early summer, and then collapses by the first week in July. There are, however, exceptions to this pattern regarding juvenile plants, part of this re-growth community can occasionally be found in the late summer or early autumn. These small plants are capable of overwintering below ice cover. Curlyleaf can be a severe nuisance during the early part of the peak recreational use season. Early control of this species is recommended so that the plant is not allowed to produce large quantities of biomass that die naturally and decompose in early July when water temperatures and the potential for oxygen stress are high. Early treatment/management is also encouraged to take place prior to seed production therefore, reducing the next generation of early pondweed growth.



Starry stonewort

Starry stonewort, an exotic species, looks like a rooted plant but it is actually an algae. The plant is native to Europe and Asia and was first discovered in the St. Lawrence River in 1978. In 1983, it was found in the Detroit River near Belle Isle and has since infested hundreds Michigan lakes. Starry stonewort resembles the native aquatic plant Chara. Unlike Chara, which is generally considered to be a beneficial plant, starry stonewort tends to colonize deeper portions of the lake and can form dense blankets several feet thick. These mats can severely impede navigation and limit growth of more beneficial plants. Starry stonewort anchors to the sediments through rhizoids (primitive root structures) which can also absorb nutrients. Like Chara, starry stonewort also absorbs nutrients from the water through its cell walls. Compared to many other aquatic plants, starry stonewort may begin growing later in the season and persist longer.





European Frog-bit

European frog-bit, an exotic plant, is a free-floating aquatic plant native to Europe, Asia and Africa. European frog-bit was first found in SE Michigan in 1996 but has recently made its way to west Michigan over the last 5 years. European frog-bit can form dense mats on the surface of slow-moving waters like bayous, backwaters and wetlands. Mats can impede boat traffic and alter food and habitat for Bears and fish. Prolific growth of European frog-bit can also reduce oxygen and light in the water column. The plant is spread by plant fragments or turions (seed pods)

transported on boats, trailers and recreational gear. Once established, drifting mats of vegetation spread to connected waters.

Algae

Algae are basically divided into planktonic, filamentous, and macroalgae forms. Planktonic algae are microscopic, free floating plants, often referred to as "water bloom". In large number, the algae can cause water to appear



green, brown, yellow, or even red. Filamentous algae, commonly called "pond scum" can form raft-like masses over the water surface. Since they are vulnerable to winds and currents, they are generally restricted to bays, bayous, and sheltered shorelines. Filamentous algae can grow attached to the lake bottom, weeds and docks. The filamentous algae will frequently detach from the lake bottom and form floating mats. The macroalgae includes three types, chara, starry stonewort and nitella. Chara grows like a carpet on the bottom of the lake. It is nature's water filter and is excellent for fish bedding. Chara grows approximately one inch a week during the summer months.

An over abundance of algae is an indicator that there is an excess amount of nutrients within the water column/lake, causing the waterbody to become overly productive. Algae are very beneficial in a lake ecosystem and can be thought of as the base of the food chain. Therefore, some algae is required.

However, when an algae reaches the point of hindering the use of the lake, control measures are available. Firstly, actions should be taken within the watershed to promote a healthy lake ecosystem and decrease nutrient loading, etc. However, no immediate change will be seen with these actions. Therefore, many lakes opt to include limited algae control within their management program.

Management Goals for Bear Lake

- The primary goal of management in Bear Lake is to control the noxious algae blooms and manage exotic aquatic plants to allow recreational use of the lake and promote a healthy fishery. The exotic plant species, Eurasian watermilfoil should be controlled throughout Bear Lake. The abundance of this species should be reduced to the maximum extent possible, and efforts should be made to reduce their recovery after treatment.
- Aquatic plant management should preserve species diversity and cover of native plants sufficient to provide habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. Native plants should be managed to encourage the growth of plants that support the Bear Lake fishery (by creating structure and habitat) provided that they do not excessively interfere with recreational uses of the lake (e.g., swimming and fishing) in high-use areas. Where they must be managed, management techniques that reduce the stature of native plants without killing them (e.g., harvesting, contact herbicides) should be used whenever possible. Specific areas should be set aside where native plants will not be managed, to provide habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. Muskgrass (*Chara*) should be allowed to grow throughout the lake, except in where it grows so tall as to interfere with boating and swimming.

- The species Starry stonewort should be actively controlled and managed. Starry stonewort forms dense mats, but unlike chara, it can grow from 5 to 7 feet tall. Starry stonewort can be very detrimental to a lake's ecosystem and has the ability to kill off native plants and have a negative impact on a lake's fisheries.
- The invasive terrestrial plant Phragmites should be controlled along the shoreline and adjacent wetlands where



present. This species is exotic and has the ability to displace beneficial native vegetation. Phragmites (common reed) is a wetland grass that ranges in height from 6 to 15 feet tall. Phragmites quickly becomes the dominant feature in aquatic ecosystems, aggressively invading shorelines, wetlands, and ditches. This plant creates dense stands - walls of weeds crowding out beneficial native wetland vegetation and indigenous waterfowl habitats. Spreading by fragmentation and an extensive root system, Phragmites ultimately out-competes native plant life for sun, water and nutrients.

- Conditions in Bear Lake should not be allowed to deteriorate below present levels. Expansion of aquatic plant problems should trigger an adjustment in the aquatic vegetation management strategy. To support such responses, an annual record of vegetation and management should be maintained.
- Outreach/education of the Bear Lake residents should be a priority to better communicate lake activities and management goals. The Bear Lake Improvement Board should conduct annual meetings and newsletters and/or email updates as a way to directly relay pertinent information to residents.
- Due to the water quality problems in Bear Lake (poor water clarity, noxious algae blooms) efforts should continue to be made to reduce external loading of nutrients. Proper watershed management techniques should be applied where possible and lake residents should be encouraged to practice "lake friendly" lawn maintenance.
- The implementation of natural shorelines should be encouraged around Bear Lake. Converting seawall shorelines back to natural vegetation; plants, trees and shrubs along the water's edge has many benefits for the lake.

Lake Management Activities Conducted in 2023

Exotic Plant Management

Aquatic weed treatments were conducted in June, July and August for the control of Eurasian watermilfoil (EWM), Curlyleaf pondweed (CLPW), Starry stonewort (SSW) and nuisance shoreline native plants in Bear Lake.

PLM used a combination of the systemic herbicide ProcellaCOR and the contact herbicide Diquat to control the invasive species, EWM and CLPW. This combination has proven to be less costly on an acre basis versus historical applications, more effective and controls two invasive species at one time. The management strategy for the control of Eurasian watermilfoil using this combination has been working, with less re-growth in treated areas. However, EWM control is a constant battle that is heightened with presence of hybrid watermilfoils along with constant re-introduction and fragmentation of the species.

Starry stonewort was not targeted this season. The SSW is fairly widespread on the lake at this time but remained low growing and not at nuisance levels in a majority of the shoreline. More extensive treatments for this species may be required in the future.

Nuisance native plants growing tight to shore, around docks and within swim areas were managed in mid-July using a combination of the contact herbicides, Diquat and Flumioxazin.

As PLM continues to collect data and record the vegetation in the lake, plant trend graphs can be developed to illustrate management success over time.

Algae Management

Nuisance filamentous algae was treated using SeClear, copper sulfate and Captain XTR (chelated copper) in Fenner's Ditch as well as shoreline areas where the algae was dense and collecting on exotic and native plants. Overall, algae growth was low this season.

Phosphorus Mitigation – Phoslock Treatments

This season the Bear Lake Lake Board expanded the Phoslock program to treat not only Fenner's Ditch but the deep holes of the lake.

The primary goal of the Bear Lake Phosphorus Mitigation Plan is to significantly reduce phosphorus concentrations in the deeper water and ditch of the lake on an annual basis. The best method to achieve this reduction is through the use of Phoslock. Phoslock is a bentonite clay product containing lanthanum, a naturally occurring low toxicity earth element. Phoslock has the ability to permanently and rapidly remove, "strip", phosphorus from the water column.

The testing from the GVSU study indicated that the average total phosphorus concentration in the water column is ~50 ug/L. A majority of the phosphorus is pooling in the deeper water of the lake (>10 feet). This deeper water was the primary focus of the mitigation plan during 2023.

Based on the continued algae problems in Fenner's Ditch over the last several years, Phoslock was used again this season.

Two Phoslock treatments were conducted during 2023. The first application took place on June 14 with an additional treatment on August 28, 2023.

Although water quality samples (specifically total phosphorus) were not taken before and after treatments, overall improvements in appearance and a reduction in algae growth were observed.



Water Quality Testing


The water quality of Bear Lake was evaluated this season by Grand Valley State University. Once the results from the testing are available, PLM may have additional recommendations and/or modifications to the lake management plan for the lake.

Table 2: Treatment Summary 2023

Treatment Date	Herbicide	Quantity	Target Species	~ Acres Treated
6/07/2023	Tribune (Diquat)	13 gal	EWM, CLPW	13
	ProcellaCOR	248 oz	EWM	13
6/14/2023	Chelated copper	2.5 ga3	Filamentous Algae	2.5
	Phoslock	1320 lb	Phosphorus	12
7/12/2023	Tribune (Diquat)	6 gal	EWM	6
	ProcellaCOR	114 oz	EWM	6
	Copper sulfate	25 lb	Filamentous Algae	5
	SeClear L	4.5 gal	Filamentous Algae	5
7/20/2023	Tribune (Diquat)	27.5 gal	Nuisance Natives	25
	Flumioxazin	41.25 lb	Nuisance Natives	25
	SeClear L	25 gal	Filamentous Algae	25
8/24/2023	Tribune (Diquat)	10.5 gal	EWM, Nuisance Natives	8
	Flumioxazin	3 lb	Nuisance Natives	2

	ProcellaCOR	162 oz	EWM	6
	SeClear L	4 gal	Filamentous Algae	5
	Copper sulfate	25 lb	Filamentous Algae	5
8/28/2023	Phoslock	1320 lb	Phosphorus	12

Map 1: Bear Lake Combined Exotic Plant Treatment Areas



Aquatic Vegetation Surveys

Planning/Evaluation

Vegetation surveys determine the locations of target and non-target plant species. The results of the surveys are used to determine the most appropriate management strategy. The vegetation surveys also document the success of the prescribed management program. An AVAS survey is the State of Michigan's method for conducting a complete aquatic vegetation survey. The Aquatic Vegetation Assessment Site (AVAS) survey divides the parts of the lake capable of growing plants (littoral zone) into subareas and records the cover of each aquatic plant found in each "site". This method of surveying considers not only the types of plant species present in the lake but also the densities of those species. AVAS surveys are also an excellent way to track plant species trends over time. A goal of

invasive plant management is to have native plants increase while exotic plants decrease over time. The success of this goal can be illustrated through the use of the AVAS data collected over several years.

AVAS Data 2023

Surveys of the lake were made frequently throughout the summer months for pre or post treatment evaluation and to have additional survey data available for management purposes. Complete AVAS surveys of Bear Lake were conducted on May 22 and August 18, 2023. Based on the survey findings, Bear Lake supports a diverse community of aquatic plants. Several species of aquatic plants were encountered during 2023. The May 2023 AVAS survey had significantly less growth and diversity than the late summer survey, which is to be expected. Many native plants do not start growing until mid-summer and therefore not captured in the early season surveys.

Table 1: Plant Species in Found in Bear Lake, August 2023

AVAS Code	Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cumulative Cover August 2023
	<i>Submerged- Exotic</i>		
1	Eurasian watermilfoil	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	3.92
29	Starry stonewort	<i>Nitellopsis obtusa</i>	1.31
	<i>Submerged- Native</i>		
3	Muskgrass	<i>Chara</i>	16.27
4	Thinleaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton spp.</i>	0.16
5	Flatstem pondweed	<i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i>	5.84
9	Richardsons pondweed	<i>Potamogeton richardsonii</i>	10.97
11	Largeleaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i>	2.34
12	American pondweed	<i>Potamogeton nondus</i>	0.02
14	Water stargrass	<i>Zosteria dubia</i>	2.84
15	Wild Celery	<i>Vallisneria Americana</i>	34.11
20	Coontail	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	11.22
21	Elodea	<i>Elodea Canadensis</i>	0.31
22	Bladderwort	<i>Utricularia vulgaris</i>	0.47
25	Naiad	<i>Najas flexilis</i>	10.77
26	Brittle naiad	<i>Najas minor</i>	0.34
27	Sago pondweed	<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	4.98
	<i>Emergent- Native</i>		
30	Water lily	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>	3.61
31	Spatterdock	<i>Nuphar variegata</i>	0.25
36	Arrowhead	<i>Sagittaria latifolia</i>	0.31
37	Pickereelweed	<i>Pontederia cordata</i>	0.20
39	Cattail	<i>Typha spp.</i>	11.73
40	Bulrush	<i>Scirpus spp.</i>	1.84
42	Swamp loosestrife	<i>Dianthera americana</i>	0.47
	<i>Emergent - Exotic</i>		
43	Purple loosestrife	<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	1.53
44	Common reed	<i>Phragmites</i>	0.58
Total			126.39

Over the years, the presence of Eurasian watermilfoil, Curlyleaf pondweed and noxious planktonic algae have undoubtedly reduced native plant diversity in the lake. With the introduction of Starry stonewort, potential impacts to native plant communities is increased with this aggressive species. However, though proper management, native plant diversity can be maintained.

Native plant diversity will continue to be promoted in the lake. The native plant species in Bear Lake benefit the lake, performing such functions as stabilizing sediments and providing habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. In general, native species cause few problems, compared with those caused by exotic plants. Plant diversity is key to maintaining and improving the overall ecological balance of Bear Lake.

All of the plants listed in Table 1 are native North American species except Eurasian watermilfoil, Starry stonewort, Purple loosestrife and Phragmites. These plants are non-indigenous aquatic nuisance species, i.e., plants from other places. These exotic plants cause considerably more problems than most native species. Eurasian watermilfoil and Starry stonewort can attain nuisance levels of growth at almost any time of year.

The native plant species benefit the lake, performing such functions as stabilizing sediments and providing habitat for fish and aquatic organisms. In general, native species cause few problems, compared with those caused by exotic plants. At the time of the August survey, rooted plant growth was moderate along a majority of the shoreline. Some common native plants observed at the time of the survey were Chara, Eel grass and Naiad.

		
Chara (Muskgrass)	Eel Grass (Wild Celery)	Naiad

Strategies for Achieving Lake Management Goals

Management Techniques

Areas of the lake that support vegetation will grow plants, despite intense efforts to remove them. Aquatic vegetation provides important benefits to a lake, including stabilizing sediments, providing habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms, and slowing the spread of exotic plant species. In general, native plants interfere less with recreation and other human activities than exotic species. The non-native plant species, Eurasian watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed concentrate their biomass at the water surface where it strongly interferes with boating, swimming and other human activities. This growth form also allows exotic plants to displace native plants and form a monospecific (i.e., single species) plant community. The dense surface canopies of Eurasian watermilfoil and Curly leaf pondweed provide a lower quality habitat than that provided by a diverse community of native plants. Control of exotic plant species minimizes interference of plant growth with human activities and protects the native vegetation of the lake. The goal of environmentally responsible aquatic plant management, therefore, is not to remove all vegetation, but to control the types of plants that grow in the lake and the height of plants, to minimize interference with human activities.

It is important that control techniques meet the needs and expectations of lake users. Each technique has advantages and disadvantages. Many aquatic plants are relatively susceptible to some control measures but resistant to others. Too often, lake groups select a control technique before determining what their needs are.

Chemical control, or use of aquatic herbicides, is the most common strategy for controlling exotic plant species. Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) regulates the use of chemical control in lakes and ponds across Michigan. This highly restrictive practice uses federal and state approved herbicides and/or algaecides under permits for controlling plants or algae. Dosage, timing, product, and location



and among some factors restricted by the permit. Aquatic herbicides provide predictable results and there is extensive research and data regarding all the products approved for use. There are two types of herbicides, systemic or contact. Many of the aquatic herbicides available can be used to selectively control exotic species with minimal or no impact on native species.

Mechanical harvesting is best suited for native plant species. Most native plant species have a higher



tolerance to aquatic herbicides and require higher dosage rates (higher cost and reduced selectivity). Mechanical harvesting can be used to provide relief from native plant species if they are causing a recreational nuisance. Harvesting does not kill the plants, but simply reduces its stature, leaving lower growth for fish habitat and sediment stabilization. Mechanical harvesting of Eurasian watermilfoil is **not** recommended as it will expedite its spread throughout a lake through fragmentation.

Biological control options for nuisance aquatic vegetation are limited. Grass carp, which indiscriminately devour aquatic vegetation, have been restricted in many states because of their nonselective grazing and fear they may escape into nonintended waters. The use of the milfoil weevil (*Euhrychipsis lecontei*) to control Eurasian watermilfoil has been implemented in many Michigan lakes. PLM Lake & Land Management Corp has many years of experience participating in weevil stocking, evaluations and longterm observations related to their performance and sustainability. Although the milfoil weevils may impact EWM populations in certain situations, the use of this tool remains unpredictable.

Bacteria product formulations and application techniques has greatly improved in recent years. Granular bacteria products can be applied to specific shoreline areas to reduce organic muck that has accumulated over the years. As waterbodies age, organic sediment can build up due to excessive plant and algae growth. This process is called eutrophication. Increasing native populations of bacteria can slow this process down. Reductions in the depth of muck may depend on many variables. Most importantly, the percent of sediment that is organic. The more organics in the sediment, the greater the potential for muck reduction via bacteria augmentation.

Aeration can be a beneficial tool to sustain ecological balance within an aquatic ecosystem when used correctly. By maintaining sufficient oxygen levels throughout a waterbody, the entire eutrophication process can be slowed down, the health of the fishery can be maintained and overall water quality can be improved. The implementation of an aeration system to control rooted aquatic plant growth is not recommended. Rooted plants, such as Eurasian watermilfoil, will not be affected by aeration. Similar to the use of biological control, the impact of aeration on improving water quality and reducing organic sediment will vary greatly from site to site. Therefore, it is extremely important to thoroughly evaluate each site's conditions and expectations before implementing an aeration system.



Genetic Milfoil Testing advancements over the last decade have allowed milfoil stems to be analyzed to determine genetic makeup (i.e. Northern watermilfoil versus Eurasian watermilfoil versus Hybrid watermilfoil). This testing has confirmed that there are variances in the genetic makeup of different hybrid milfoils. Genetically testing milfoil can be helpful if treatments have shown unexpected results. PLM has been collaborating with Universities across the country in sampling and studying the genetic makeup of milfoil infestations across Michigan. Although this data is very helpful in researching milfoil, genetic testing is not a requirement for management.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approaches to aquatic plant control IPM emphasize spending more effort evaluating the problem, so that exactly the right control can be applied at just the right time to control the pest. IPM approaches minimize treatment costs and the use of chemicals. Lake management planning ensures the most appropriate, cost-effective treatment for your lake. Planning is an essential phase of Integrated Pest Management and includes lake vegetation surveys, water quality evaluation and a detailed, written lake

management plan. Having the plan in place helps lake users know what to expect from lake management. Survey results provide a permanent record of conditions in the lake and the impact of management practices.

Vegetation Monitoring

It is important to maintain a record of lake conditions and management activities. Vegetation surveys monitor types and locations of plants in the lake, providing information that is essential to the administration of efficient, cost-effective control measures. Vegetation surveys also document the success or failure of management actions and the amount of native vegetation being maintained in the lake.

Lake vegetation should be surveyed, at a minimum, on an annual basis (late-spring and/or late summer/early fall) to document the results of plant management efforts and provide information necessary for planning future management.

Water Quality Monitoring

Water quality monitoring is a critical part of lake management. Water quality monitoring provides an ongoing record of conditions in a waterbody. Changes in water quality can indicate threats from sources such as failed or inadequate septic systems, agricultural and lawn runoff, burgeoning development and erosion from construction site. Prompt identification of threats to water quality makes it possible to remedy them before irreversible harm has been done. Riparian's enjoyment of the water resource and the value of their property depend on maintaining water quality.

Water quality monitoring can also identify trends in water quality before conditions deteriorate to the point where remediation is prohibitively expensive or impossible. Records of past conditions and management activities also help to keep management consistent despite changes in the membership of the Lake Association. Records should include (at a minimum):

- Temperature, dissolved oxygen and Secchi disk depth should be measured in the lake. Temperature and dissolved oxygen profiles should be obtained in the deep hole, so as to monitor the timing and extent of oxygen depletion in the hypolimnion (i.e., bottom water).
- Total phosphorus and nitrates should be measured in the surface and bottom water at least two times per season (spring and late summer) to monitor nutrient accumulation in the hypolimnion.
- Three shoreline areas of the lake should be sampled during peak summer months for the contamination of *E. coli* bacteria. Contamination of surface water by *E. coli* and other bacteria poses a hazard to swimmers and to pets that drink the water.

Natural Shoreline/Nutrient Loading Abatement

Lakeshore property owners should be encouraged to use phosphorus-free fertilizers on lawns and other areas that drain into Bear Lake or the adjacent wetlands. Lakeshore residents should also be encouraged to manage their waterside landscapes according to the recommendations outlined in publications on this topic available from the MSU Extension.


It is also important to remember that rooted plants derive most of their key nutrients from the sediments; thus, they respond slowly, if at all, to reductions in nutrient loading. In fact, if reductions in nutrient loading lead to improved water clarity, the growth of rooted plants will probably increase.

If organic material (muck) accumulates to undesirable levels in shoreline areas, bacterial treatments should be considered as a way to alleviate the buildup.

Shoreline development has led to habitat degradation and as lakes continue to become more and more developed, the impacts continue to be damaging to the lake ecosystem. From mowed grass and sandy beaches, to seawalls and riprap to wake boat waves and fertilizer, development has negatively impacted a lake in all ecological aspects. By working to reduce the human footprint around the lake, the health of the lake will be improved. Natural shoreline restoration is helpful from reducing nutrient loading and runoff to providing habitat for frogs and fish to naturally defending against Canadian geese congregating in your yard, it is important that action is taken to minimize

development impact and restore natural features. Maintaining a natural shoreline can greatly aid in the overall health of the lake.


The implementation of natural shorelines should be encouraged around Bear Lake. Converting seawall shorelines, if applicable, back to natural vegetation; plants, trees and shrubs along the water's edge has many benefits for the lake. Some of benefits of having a natural shoreline are erosion control, nutrient and pollution absorption, increase in wildlife and fish habitat and reduction of nuisance geese on lawns. If seawall removal is not feasible there are other options residents can do to improve and protect the lake. Placing rip rap in front of a seawall will help reduce wave action thus reducing lake scour. Rip rap can also create a suitable shoreline for animals to access the land and provide places for aquatic insects and plants to grow. Also, native vegetation can be planted within the rip rap, creating a more natural shoreline. Adding rip rap is an easy, affordable and effective way to help the lake.



Picture courtesy of MI Natural Shoreline Partnership

Phosphorus Mitigation – Phoslock Treatments

Phoslock is a patented phosphorus locking technology to restore water quality in lakes and ponds. Phoslock is a bentonite clay product containing lanthanum, a naturally occurring low toxicity earth element. Phoslock has the ability to permanently and rapidly remove, “strip”, phosphorus from the water column. Phoslock is environmentally friendly and is commonly used throughout the United States, Canada and many other countries throughout the world.



Picture courtesy of SePRO Corporation

Fishery

Bear Lake has a diverse fishery. Many of the fish species rely on vegetated areas to spawn, forage and seek refuge. A healthy native aquatic plant community offers favorable habitat for many species that benefit from the complexity of architectural diversity. Exotic invasive aquatic plant species, such as Eurasian watermilfoil and Starry Stonewort are known to displace native plant communities, reduce architectural diversity and have negative effects on fish populations. Managing exotic aquatic plant species while maintaining native plant communities promotes a healthy and stable fish community.

Restoration

Pending the level of a waterbody's impairment, specific activities such as phosphorus mitigation, native plantings, fish plantings, etc. can be recommended. As this varies tremendous on a site by site basis, it is generally best to work with healthy lake front living practices, early detection rapid response and education/outreach to prevent infestations and make improvements in the overall ecosystem.

In regards to nonnative plant infestations, it is best to control early. Controlling nonnative plants early is key to lowering the impact to the native plant communities. If and when a monoculture is formed, there is no guarantee that a native species will return. In most cases, once a nonnative plant has been controlled, native plants will naturally flourish in that area. If and when a planting is considered, it is important to only use native species as well as species that have a historical presence within that system. Even native species, once introduced into a new environment can cause negative impacts and have consequences (i.e. Wild Celery (*Vallisneria americana*)).

Prevention



Eurasian watermilfoil was most likely introduced to Bear Lake by plant fragments carried on boats and/or boat trailers. A variety of other troublesome exotic plants and animals that can be introduced to Bear Lake are also transported this way. Preventing their inadvertent introduction to Bear Lake can significantly lower the cost of future lake management. Education can be an effective preventative measure. Newsletter articles should alert lake residents to the threat from exotic nuisance plants and animals. Warning signs should be erected at any public boat access sites, if applicable, that encourage boaters to clean boats and trailers when launching or removing watercraft from the lake.

Bear Lake Management Recommendations 2024

Management options are dependent on many factors, including but not limited to, species abundance (density), species richness, species location and many lake characteristics. Whenever an exotic species is found within an aquatic environment, action needs to be taken to prevent long term ecological damage as well as recreational and aesthetic loss that will take place.

Submersed Aquatic Plants

Systemic herbicides should be used throughout the lake, wherever appropriate. The treatment should take place once the plants are actively growing but before they are a recreational nuisance. Systemic herbicides in combination with contact herbicides may be utilized tight to shore in developed shorelines for mixed beds of EWM and/or Curlyleaf pondweed control. The benefit of using a contact herbicide near shore is that it can target other nuisance vegetation, if needed, and can also provide a quicker die-down of the plants. Multiple treatments will be required in both the developed and undeveloped areas of the lake throughout the growing season.

Starry stonewort should be controlled to the maximum extent possible to keep the exotic plant from spreading. Copper based products such as SeClear G are effective herbicides that can be used for control.

As part of the long-term restoration plan for the lake, native plants will be promoted throughout the waterbody. Native plants are key to a healthy balanced aquatic ecosystem. And as stated previously, the native plant species in Bear Lake benefit the lake, performing such functions as stabilizing sediments, reducing impacts from wave action and providing habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. However, if native plant density becomes a recreational nuisance for homeowners, and management is requested by the BLIB, treatments with contact herbicides and algaecides in residential shoreline areas will minimize nuisance native vegetation and algae.

Algae Management

The product SeClear will be used for algae management on the lake, if needed. SeClear is one of the first algaecide and water quality enhancers in one, designed to replace routine algaecide programs. SeClear provides effective control of a broad-range of algae species while reducing in-water phosphorus levels with each application. SeClear uses nutrient locking technology to bind phosphorus in the water, thus removing it from the water column. Once bound, it is unavailable for algae growth. It can therefore treat the symptoms and the root cause of algae growth.

Phoslock Treatments

Phoslock treatments of the Deep Hole's and Fenner's Ditch should continue in 2024. Binding available phosphorus will further reduce potential algae growth and improve conditions in the lake.

Emergent Vegetation Management

Purple loosestrife and Phragmites should also be addressed around the perimeter of the lake to prevent the further spread of these exotic species. The systemic herbicides, Glyphosate, Renovate 3 and Imazapyr, are effective at controlling these species. Since they are systemic herbicide, the root system of the plant will be killed not just the foliage.

Monitoring and Water Quality Testing

Aquatic vegetation will be monitored to document the condition of the lake and to provide warning of any changes in the condition of the lake that need to be addressed by additional lake management activities. Water quality testing should continue to document overall health of the waterbody.

The Recommended Management Program for Bear Lake:

- A spring AVAS vegetation survey (to evaluate conditions in the lake and direct management efforts)
- Early summer herbicide treatment (to control any Eurasian watermilfoil and/or curly leaf pondweed areas that are found)
- Algaecide treatments as needed
- Phoslock treatments of Deep Hole's and Fenner's Ditch in ~ May & August
- Mid-summer herbicide treatment (to control any EWM areas that are found)
- Herbicide treatment of nuisance native plants in mid-late summer, if requested
- Phragmites/Purple loosestrife treatment along shoreline, late summer/early fall, if needed
- Late summer herbicide treatment (to control any EWM areas that are found)
- A fall vegetation survey (AVAS)
- Biobase mapping of the lake bottom to determine plant biovolume, bottom hardness (optional, does not need to be conducted on an annual basis)
- Work together with industry experts to investigate the petroleum pollution in Bear Lake to develop potential remediation efforts.
- Work in partnership with Grand Valley State University to encourage a comprehensive management approach for Bear Lake and the surrounding watershed.